

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
FORT WAYNE DIVISION**

WOUNDED WARRIOR PROJECT, INC.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
HELP INDIANA VETS, INC. and)
DEAN M. GRAHAM,)
)
Defendants.)

1:14-cv-00075

ORDER

Plaintiff Wounded Warrior Project, Inc. (“WWP”) has filed a motion requesting that the Court approve and enter the consent judgment and permanent injunction agreed upon and signed by WWP and Defendant Dean Graham. (Docket Entry 65.) Because WWP and Graham have agreed to the terms of the consent judgment and permanent injunction, and seeing no objection, the motion is **GRANTED** and the agreed consent judgment and permanent injunction are **APPROVED**. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment accordingly.

The proposed consent judgment and permanent injunction purport to be agreed to by both Graham and the entity defendant Help Indiana Vets, Inc. (“HIVI”), and to govern the actions of both and end WWP’s legal case against both. HIVI can’t subscribe to the agreement, however, because it is an entity, not a natural person, and it therefore needs to be represented by an attorney, *see, e.g., Rowland v. California Men’s Colony*, 506 U.S. 194, 203 (1993), which it doesn’t have. HIVI has failed to plead or defend in this case, and a default judgment against HIVI in favor of WWP is appropriate. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). I therefore warned the parties on May 30, 2014 that default would be entered against HIVI if no cause was shown by June 16,

2014 why that shouldn't happen. (DE 66.) My Order indicated that the default judgment against HIVI would apply the same terms as the proposed consent judgment and permanent injunction, as that appeared to be the intent of the parties. Nothing has been filed since my last order, so default judgment against HIVI is **GRANTED** and the terms of the consent judgment and permanent injunction that apply to Graham are also hereby applied to HIVI. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment accordingly.

Pursuant to the terms of the consent judgment, this Court retains jurisdiction over the subject matter of and parties to this case for the purposes of enforcing the consent judgment and permanent injunction. This constitutes a final judgment in the case, with each part to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: June 18, 2014

/s/ Philip P. Simon
PHILIP P. SIMON, CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT